## **REMARKS**

Docket No.: 14113-00012-US

The applicant respectfully requests reconsideration in view of the following remarks. Support for amended claim 22 can be found in claim 22. The applicant has amended the definition of Q so that R (now  $R^{10}$ ) does not include  $N(R^1)_2$  in the definition.  $R^{10}$  is identical to R except that  $N(R^1)_2$  has been excluded from the definition and where R is now  $R^{10}$  throughout the definition of R. No new matter has been added.

## **Comments to Interviews**

An interview was conducted on January 28, 2011. The applicant discussed that the undersigned inadvertently did not work from the latest claims of the amendment filed July 26, 2010. The undersigned agreed to filing the correct set of claims in a supplemental response. The undersigned filed the Supplemental Amendment on January 28, 2011.

Additional interviews were conducted on April 1, 2011 and April 5, 2011. The applicant proposed amending the definition of Q so that R (now  $R^{10}$ ) does not include  $N(R^1)_2$  in the definition. Wurst required  $NH_2$ . The applicant's claim 22 excludes  $NH_2$ . The Examiner said that the proposed amendment should overcome Wuest. He also stated that he would have to perform an updated search. The applicant appreciates the Examiner permitting the applicant to interview this application.

Claims 1-4, 7-10, 12-21, 26 and 28-30 are allowed! The subject matter of claims 24 and 25 is allowable. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wuest (J. Org. Chem. Vol. 69, pages 1762-1775). The applicant respectfully traverses this rejection.

In the compounds disclosed by Wuest et al. (in particular compounds 19 and 39) the Examiner correctly points out that the triazine is substituted with two NH2 groups. This substitution is no longer possible since N(R1)2 is deleted from the definition of R<sup>10</sup>, and claim 22 is therefore novel and unobvious with respect to Wuest et al..

In view of the above amendment, applicant believes the pending application is in condition for allowance.

4248980 10

Docket No.: 14113-00012-US

Applicant believes no fee is due with this response. However, if a fee is due, please charge our Deposit Account No. 03-2775, under Order No. 14113-00012-US from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Dated: April 5, 2011

Respectfully submitted,

Electronic signature: /Ashley I. Pezzner/
Ashley I. Pezzner
Registration No.: 35,646
CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP
1007 North Orange Street
P. O. Box 2207
Wilmington, Delaware 19899-2207
(302) 658-9141

(302) 658-5614 (Fax) Attorney for Applicant

4248980 11